|  |
| --- |
| **Table 9.4.1.3.1.1 Assessable development - Emerging community zone - Interim precinct and Interim residential precinct (Redcliffe Kippa-Ring Local Plan)** |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Performance outcomes** | **Examples that achieve aspects of the Performance Outcomes** | **E Compliance**   * **Yes** * **No See PO or** * **NA** | **Justification for compliance** |
| **Lot size and design** | |  |  |
| **PO1**  Reconfiguring a lot does not result in additional lots. | No example provided. |  |  |
| **Boundary realignment** | |  |  |
| **PO2**  Boundary realignments do not result in the:   1. fragmentation or alienation of the land or result in the loss of land for future urban purposes; 2. delay the use of the land for urban purposes; 3. existing land uses on-site becoming non-compliant due to:    1. lot size;    2. parking requirements;    3. servicing;    4. dependant elements of an existing or approved land use being separately titled.  |  | | --- | | Note - Examples may include but are not limited to:   1. Where a Dwelling house([22](http://consult.moretonbay.qld.gov.au/portal/mbrcpsv3?pointId=s1332743658181#target-d60297e447512)) includes a secondary dwelling or associated outbuildings, they cannot be separately titled as they are dependent on the Dwelling house([22](http://consult.moretonbay.qld.gov.au/portal/mbrcpsv3?pointId=s1332743658181#target-d60297e447512)) use. | | No example provided. |  |  |
| **Native vegetation where not located in the Environmental areas overlay** | |  |  |
| **PO3**  Reconfiguring a lot facilitates the retention of native vegetation by:   1. incorporating native vegetation and habitat trees into the overall subdivision design, development layout, on-street amenity and landscaping where practicable; 2. ensuring habitat trees are located outside a development footprint.  Where habitat trees are to be cleared, replacement fauna nesting boxes are provided at the rate of 1 nest box for every hollow removed.  Where hollows have not yet formed in trees > 80cm in diameter at 1.3m height, 3 nest boxes are required for every habitat tree removed. 3. providing safe, unimpeded, convenient and ongoing wildlife movement; 4. avoiding creating fragmented and isolated patches of native vegetation. 5. ensuring that biodiversity quality and integrity of habitats is not adversely impacted upon but are maintained and protected; 6. ensuring that soil erosion and land degradation does not occur; 7. ensuring that quality of surface water is not adversely impacted upon by providing effective vegetated buffers to water bodies. | No example provided. |  |  |
| **Noise** | |  |  |
| **PO4**  Noise attenuation structure (e.g. walls, barriers or fences):   1. contribute to safe and usable public spaces, through maintaining high levels of surveillance of parks, streets and roads that serve active transport purposes (e.g. existing or future pedestrian paths or cycle lanes etc); 2. maintain the amenity of the streetscape.  |  | | --- | | Note - A noise impact assessment may be required to demonstrate compliance with this PO.  Noise impact assessments are to be prepared in accordance with Planning scheme policy - Noise. | | Note - Refer to Planning Scheme Policy – Integrated design for details and examples of noise attenuation structures. | | **E4**  Noise attenuation structures (e.g. walls, barriers or fences):   1. are not visible from an adjoining road or public area unless; 2. adjoining a motorway or rail line; or 3. adjoining part of an arterial road that does not serve an existing or future active transport purpose (e.g. pedestrian paths or cycle lanes) or where attenuation through building location and materials is not possible. 4. do not remove existing or prevent future active transport routes or connections to the street network; 5. are located, constructed and landscaped in accordance with Planning scheme policy - Integrated design.  |  | | --- | | Note - Refer to Planning Scheme Policy – Integrated design for details and examples of noise attenuation structures. | | Note - Refer to Overlay map – Active transport for future active transport routes. | |  |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Values and constraints criteria**   |  | | --- | | Note - The relevant values and constraints criteria do not apply where the development is consistent with a current Development permit for Reconfiguring a lot or Material change of use or Operational work, where that approval has considered and addressed (e.g. through a development footprint plan (or similar in the case of Landslide hazard) or conditions of approval) the identified value or constraint under this planning scheme. | | | | |
| **Bushfire hazard (refer Overlay map - Bushfire hazard to determine if the following assessment criteria apply)**   |  | | --- | | Note -The preparation of a bushfire management plan in accordance with Planning scheme policy – Bushfire prone areas can assist in demonstrating compliance with the following performance criteria. The identification of a development footprint will assist in demonstrating compliance with the following performance criteria. | | | | |
| **PO5**  Lots are designed to:   1. minimise the risk from bushfire hazard to each lot and provide the safest possible siting for buildings and structures; 2. limit the possible spread paths of bushfire within the reconfiguring; 3. achieve sufficient separation distance between development and hazardous vegetation to minimise the risk to future buildings and structures during bushfire events; 4. maintain the required level of functionality for emergency services and uses during and immediately after a natural hazard event. | **E5**  Reconfiguring a lot ensures that all new lots are of an appropriate size, shape and layout to allow for the siting of future buildings being located:   1. within an appropriate development footprint; 2. within the lowest hazard locations on a lot; 3. to achieve minimum separation between development or development footprint and any source of bushfire hazard of 20m or the distance required to achieve a Bushfire Attack Level BAL (as identified under AS3959-2009), whichever is the greater; 4. to achieve a minimum separation between development or development footprint and any retained vegetation strips or small areas of vegetation of 10m or the distance required to achieve a Bushfire Attack Level BAL (as identified under AS3959-2009), whichever is the greater; 5. away from ridgelines and hilltops; 6. on land with a slope of less than 15%; 7. away from north to west facing slope. |  |  |
| **PO6**  Lots provide adequate water supply and infrastructure to support fire-fighting. | **E6**  For water supply purposes, reconfiguring a lot ensures that:   1. lots have access to a reticulated water supply provided by a distributer retailer for the area; or 2. where no reticulated water supply is available, on-site fire fighting water storage containing not less than 10000 litres and located within a development footprint. |  |  |
| **PO7**  Lots are designed to achieve:   1. safe site access by avoiding potential entrapment situations; 2. accessibility and manoeuvring for fire-fighting during bushfire. | **E7**  Reconfiguring a lot ensures a new lot is provided with:   1. direct road access and egress to public roads; 2. an alternative access where the private driveway is longer than 100m to reach a public road; 3. driveway access to a public road that has a gradient no greater than 12.5%; 4. minimum width of 3.5m. |  |  |
| **PO8**  The road layout and design supports:   1. safe and efficient emergency services access to all lots; and manoeuvring within the subdivision; 2. availability and maintenance of access routes for the purpose of safe evacuation. | **E8**  Reconfiguring a lot provides a road layout which:   1. includes a perimeter road that separating the new lots from hazardous vegetation on adjacent lots incorporating by:    1. a cleared width of 20m;    2. road gradients not exceeding 12.5%;    3. pavement and surface treatment capable of being used by emergency vehicles;    4. Turning areas for fire fighting appliances in accordance with Qld Fire and Emergency Services' Fire Hydrant and Vehicle Access Guidelines. 2. Or if the above is not practicable, a fire maintenance trail separates the lots from hazardous vegetation on adjacent lots incorporating:    1. a minimum cleared width of 6m and minimum formed width of 4m;    2. gradient not exceeding 12.5%;    3. cross slope not exceeding 10%;    4. a formed width and erosion control devices to the standards specified in Planning scheme policy - Integrated design;    5. a turning circle or turnaround area at the end of the trail to allow fire fighting vehicles to manoeuvre;    6. passing bays and turning/reversing bays every 200m;    7. an access easement that is granted in favour of the Council and the Queensland Fire and Rescue Service or located on public land. 3. excludes cul-de-sacs, except where a perimeter road with a cleared width of 20m isolates the lots from hazardous vegetation on adjacent lots; and 4. excludes dead-end roads. |  |  |
| **Environmental areas (refer Overlay map - Environmental areas to determine if the following assessment criteria apply)**   |  | | --- | | Note - The identification of a development footprint will assist in demonstrating compliance with the following performance criteria.  Editors' Note - The accuracy of overlay mapping can be challenged through the development application process (code assessable development) or by way of a planning scheme amendment. See Council's website for details. | | | | |
| **PO9**  No new boundaries are to be located within 4m of a High Value Area | No example provided. |  |  |
| **PO10**  Lots are designed to:   1. minimise the extent of encroachment into the MLES waterway buffer or a MLES wetland buffer; 2. ensure quality and integrity of biodiversity and ecological values is not adversely impacted upon but are maintained and protected; 3. incorporate native vegetation and habitat trees into the overall subdivision design, development layout, on-street amenity and landscaping where practicable; 4. provide safe, unimpeded, convenient and ongoing wildlife movement; 5. avoid creating fragmented and isolated patches of native vegetation; 6. ensuring that soil erosion and land degradation does not occur; 7. ensuring that quality of surface water is not adversely impacted upon by providing effective vegetated buffers to water bodies.   AND  Where development results in the unavoidable loss of native vegetation within a MLES waterway buffer or a MLES wetland buffer, an environmental offset is required in accordance with the environmental offset requirements identified in Planning scheme policy - Environmental areas. | **E10**  Reconfiguring a lot ensures that no additional lots are created within a Value Offset Area. |  |  |
| **Heritage and landscape character (refer Overlay map - Heritage and landscape character to determine if the following assessment criteria apply)**   |  | | --- | | Note - The identification of a development footprint will assist in demonstrating compliance with the following performance criteria. | | | | |
| **PO11**  Lots do not:   1. reduce public access to a heritage place, building, item or object; 2. create the potential to adversely affect views to and from the heritage place, building, item or object; 3. obscure or destroy any pattern of historic subdivision, historical context, landscape setting or the scale and consistency of the urban fabric relating to the local heritage place. | No example provided. |  |  |
| **PO12**  Reconfiguring a lot retains significant trees and incorporates them into the subdivision design, development layout and provision of infrastructure. | No example provided. |  |  |
| **Infrastructure buffer (refer Overlay map - Infrastructure buffers to determine if the following assessment criteria apply)**   |  | | --- | | Note - The identification of a development footprint will assist in demonstrating compliance with the following performance standards. | | | | |
| **Bulk water supply infrastructure** | |  |  |
| **PO13**  Reconfiguration of lots does not compromise or adversely impact upon the efficiency and integrity of Bulk water supply infrastructure. | No example provided. |  |  |
| **PO14**  Reconfiguring of lots ensures that access requirements of Bulk water supply infrastructure are maintained. | **E14**  Bulk water supply infrastructure traversing or within private land are protected by easement in favour of the service provider for access and maintenance. |  |  |
| **PO15**  Development within a Bulk water supply infrastructure buffer:   1. is located, designed and constructed to protect the integrity of the water supply pipeline; 2. maintains adequate access for any required maintenance or upgrading work to the water supply pipeline. | **E15**  New lots provide a development footprint outside the Bulk water supply infrastructure buffer. |  |  |
| **PO16**  Boundary realignments:   1. do not result in the creation of additional building development opportunities within the buffer; 2. result in the reduction of building development opportunities within the buffer. | No example provided. |  |  |
| **High voltage electricity line buffer** | |  |  |
| **PO17**  New lots provide a development footprint outside of the buffer. | No example provided. |  |  |
| **PO18**  The creation of new lots does not compromise or adversely impact upon the efficiency and integrity of supply. | **E18**  No new lots are created within the buffer area. |  |  |
| **PO19**  The creation of new lots does not compromise or adversely impact upon access to the supply line for any required maintenance or upgrading work. | **E19**  No new lots are created within the buffer areas. |  |  |
| **PO20**  Boundary realignments:   1. do not result in the creation of additional building development opportunities within the buffer; 2. result in the reduction of building development opportunities within the buffer. | No example provided. |  |  |
| **Landslide hazard (refer Overlay map - Landslide hazard to determine if the following assessment criteria apply)**   |  | | --- | | Note - The preparation of a site-specific geotechnical assessment report in accordance with Planning scheme policy – Landslide hazard can assist in demonstrating compliance with the following performance criteria. The identification of a development footprint will assist in demonstrating compliance with the following performance criteria. | | | | |
| **PO21**  Lots ensure that:   1. future development is located in part of a site not subject to landslide risk; 2. the need for excessive on-site works, change to finished landform, or excessive vegetation clearance to provide for future development is avoided; 3. there is minimal disturbance to natural drainage patterns; 4. earthworks does not:    1. involve cut and filling having a height greater than 1.5m;    2. involve any retaining wall having a height greater than 1.5m;    3. involve earthworks exceeding 50m3; and    4. redirect or alter the existing flows of surface or groundwater. | **E21.1**  Lots provides development footprint for all new lots free from risk of landslide. |  |  |
| **E21.2**  Development footprints for new lots does not exceed 15% slope. |  |  |
| **Overland flow path (refer Overlay map - Overland flow path to determine if the following assessment criteria apply)**   |  | | --- | | Note - The applicable river and creek flood planning levels associated with defined flood event (DFE) within the inundation area can be obtained by requesting a flood check property report from Council. | | | | |
| **PO22**  Development:   1. minimises the risk to persons from overland flow; 2. does not increase the potential for damage from overland flow either on the premises or on a surrounding property, public land, road or infrastructure. | No example provided. |  |  |
| **PO23**  Development:   1. maintains the conveyance of overland flow predominantly unimpeded through the premises for any event up to and including the 1% AEP for the fully developed upstream catchment; 2. does not concentrate, intensify or divert overland flow onto an upstream, downstream or surrounding property.  |  | | --- | | Note - Reporting to be prepared in accordance with Planning scheme policy – Flood hazard, Coastal hazard and Overland flow. | | **E23**  Development ensures that any buildings are not located in an Overland flow path area.   |  | | --- | | Note: A report from a suitably qualified Registered Professional Engineer Queensland is required certifying that the development does not increase the potential for significant adverse impacts on an upstream, downstream or surrounding property. | |  |  |
| **PO24**  Development does not:   1. directly, indirectly or cumulatively cause any increase in overland flow velocity or level; 2. increase the potential for flood damage from overland flow either on the premises or on a surrounding property, public land, road or infrastructure.  |  | | --- | | Note - Open concrete drains greater than 1m in width are not an acceptable outcome, nor are any other design options that may increase scouring. | | Note - A report from a suitably qualified Registered Professional Engineer Queensland is required certifying that the development does not increase the potential for significant adverse impacts on an upstream, downstream or surrounding premises. |  |  | | --- | | Note - Reporting to be prepared in accordance with Planning scheme policy – Flood hazard, Coastal hazard and Overland flow | | No example provided. |  |  |
| **PO25**  Development ensures that overland flow is not conveyed from a road or public open space onto a private lot, unless the development is in a Rural zone. | **E25**  Development ensures that overland flow paths and drainage infrastructure is provided to convey overland flow from a road or public open space area away from a private lot, unless the development is in the Rural zone. |  |  |
| **PO26**  Development ensures that Council and inter-allotment drainage infrastructure, overland flow paths and open drains through private property cater for overland flows for a fully developed upstream catchment flows and are able to be easily maintained.   |  | | --- | | Note - A report from a suitably qualified Registered Professional Engineer Queensland is required certifying that the development does not increase the potential for significant adverse impacts on an upstream, downstream or surrounding premises. | | Note - Reporting to be prepared in accordance with Planning scheme policy – Flood hazard, Coastal hazard and Overland flow | | **E26.1**  Development ensures that roof and allotment drainage infrastructure is provided in accordance with the following relevant level as identified in QUDM:   1. Urban area – Level III; 2. Rural area – N/A; 3. Industrial area – Level V; 4. Commercial area – Level V. |  |  |
| **E26.2**  Development ensures that all Council and allotment drainage infrastructure is designed to accommodate any event up to and including the 1% AEP for the fully developed upstream catchment. |  |  |
| **PO27**  Development protects the conveyance of overland flow such that easements for drainage purposes are provided over:   1. a stormwater pipe if the nominal pipe diameter exceeds 300mm; 2. an overland flow path where it crosses more than one property; and 3. inter-allotment drainage infrastructure.  |  | | --- | | Note - Refer to Planning scheme policy - Integrated design for details and examples. | | Note - Stormwater drainage easement dimensions are provided in accordance with Section 3.8.5 of QUDM. | | No example provided. |  |  |
| **Additional criteria for development for a Park(**[57](http://consult.moretonbay.qld.gov.au/portal/mbrcpsv3?pointId=s1332743658181#target-d60297e448382)**)** | |  |  |
| **PO28**  Development for a Park([57](http://consult.moretonbay.qld.gov.au/portal/mbrcpsv3?pointId=s1332743658181#target-d60297e448382)) ensures that the design and layout responds to the nature of the overland flow affecting the premises such that:   1. public benefit and enjoyment is maximised; 2. impacts on the asset life and integrity of park structures is minimised; 3. maintenance and replacement costs are minimised. | **E28**  Development for a Park([57](http://consult.moretonbay.qld.gov.au/portal/mbrcpsv3?pointId=s1332743658181#target-d60297e448382)) ensures works are provided in accordance with the requirements set out in Appendix B of the Planning scheme policy - Integrated Design. |  |  |
| **Riparian and wetland setbacks (refer Overlay map - Riparian and wetland setback to determine if the following assessment criteria apply)**   |  | | --- | | Note - - W1, W2 and W3 waterway and drainage lines, and wetlands are mapped on Schedule 2, Section 2.5 Overlay Maps – Riparian and wetland setbacks. | | | | |
| **PO29**  Lots are designed to:   1. minimise the extent of encroachment into the riparian and wetland setback; 2. ensure the protection of wildlife corridors and connectivity; 3. reduce the impact on fauna habitats; 4. minimise edge effects; 5. ensure an appropriate extent of public access to waterways and wetlands. | **E29**  Reconfiguring a lot ensures that:   1. no new lots are created within a riparian and wetland setback; 2. new public roads are located between the riparian and wetland setback and the proposed new lots.  |  | | --- | | Note - Riparian and wetlands are mapped on Schedule 2, Section 2.5 Overlay Maps – Riparian and wetland setbacks. | |  |  |